

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignin 22313-1450 www.mpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/753,697	01/03/2001	Charles W. Bishop	17620/9316	1609	
23510	7590 08/13/2003				
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP			EXAMINER		
ONE SOUTH PINCKNEY STREET P O BOX 1806			CRIARES, THEODORE J		
MADISON,	WI 53701		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1617		
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/753,697	BISHOP ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
_	•	Theodore J. Criares	1617			
The MAILING	3 DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)⊠ Responsive	to communication(s) filed on 27	<u>May 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action i	s FINAL. 2b) Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-6</u>	, 11, 13, 14, 17 and 28-86 is/are	pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)⊡ The specificat	ion is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
	corrected drawings are required in re	•				
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.☐ Certifie	ed copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
2.☐ Certifie	ed copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applicat	ion No			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14)☐ Acknowledgme	ent is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
	Cited (PTO-892) 's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) s Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Ad	ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 13			

Application/Control Number: 09/753,697

Art Unit: 1617

## CLAIMS 2-6, 11, 13, 14, 17 and 28-35 ARE PRESENTED

## **FOR EXAMINATION**

Applicant's arguments filed May 27, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the claims are not drawn to a mechanism of action but to methods employing different Vitamin D compounds having structures distinct from those disclosed and <u>claimed</u> in the '346 patent. However, the applicants' attention is drawn to the fact that the rejection is an obvious type double patenting rejection. The purpose of this rejection is bases on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy,, so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to <u>prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees."</u> (emphasis added).

The compounds and method of use is obviated in the '346 patent which claims the previtamin D compounds as claimed applicants claims. Applicants are directed to the formulae at columns 1 and 2 lines 36-54. These formulae appear to define the obviousness of applicants' claims.

Therefore, the rejection of December 16, 2002 is deemed proper and restated herein.

Claims 2-6, 11, 13, 14, 17, and 28-86 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,130,346. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are

Application/Control Number: 09/753,697

Art Unit: 1617

not patentably distinct from each other because the patent claims 24-hydroxy Vitamin and 24 hydroxy preVitamin D.compounds administered to treat diseases as claimed in applicants' claims 2-6, 11, 13, 14, 17, and 28-35.

The difference between applicants' claims and the reference is various mechanism of actions which yield the desired effect.

For example Claim 2 requires the effect is to treat or prevent bone loss or bone mineral content, etc. claim 11 requires an increase or maintaining bone mass or bone mineral, etc. However, that applicant may have determined a mechanism by which the active ingredient gives the pharmacological effect does not alter the fact that the compound has been previously used to obtain the same pharmacological effects which would result from the claimed method. The patient, condition to be treated and the effect are the same. An explanation of why that effect occurs does not make novel or even unobvious the treatment of the conditions encompassed by the claims. Further, the teachings within the entire patent could have been calimed by the applicants in the patent. For these reasons it would have made the claims obvious under 35 U.S.C. 103.

An interview with the Examiner is urged to clarify this issue

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/753,697

Art Unit: 1617

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Theodore J. Criares whose telephone number is 308-4607. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 A.M. to 5:00P.M. Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Theodore J. Criares can be reached on 305-1877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-6897 for regular communications and N/A for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1235.

Theodore J. Criare Primary Examiner Art Unit 1617

tjc August 11, 2003